International Journal of Advanced Science and Research ISSN: 2455-4227 Impact Factor: RJIF 5.12 www.allsciencejournal.com Volume 3; Issue 3; May 2018; Page No. 14-17



Evaluation of some physicochemical parameters of groundwater in Bhilai industrial area

Sonal Sharma¹, SK Chatterjee², KK Tiwari³, Deepak Sinha^{4*}
^{1, 4} Department of Chemistry Govt. Nagarjuna PG College of Science Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India
² Government Girls College, Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh, India
³ Department of Chemistry, Govt. PG. College, Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh, India
*Corresponding Author: Deepak Sinha

Abstract

Sample was collected in different locations of central industrial area bhilai durg district for physico-chemical studies. Analysis of samples for water quality parameters such as pH, EC, TA, TH, Cl, F and So₄, Cr, Zn for industrial area of bhilai were within permissible limit of WHO. The concentrations of TA, TDS, Ca and Pb exceeded in sample area. On comparing results with drinking water quality standards by WHO, it is found that most of the water samples are not suitable for human beings due to high concentration of one parameter.

Keywords: ground water, WHO, heavy metals, physicochemical analysis, drinking water quality

1. Introduction

Water is nature's ultimate wonderful, generous and valuable compound. Clean harmless and plenty fresh water is vital to the persistence of all living organisms and the smooth functioning of environment. Most of the population depends on the groundwater as it is the only source of drinking water supply. Water quality is important to observe the suitability of water for use (Sinha. R.M et al. 2011) [11] the groundwater is believed to be comparatively much clean and free from pollution than surface water. Residential, municipal, commercial, industrial, and agricultural activities can all affect groundwater quality. The quality of ground water depends on a many factors like hydrological, physical, chemical and biological factors. The quality of water is important concern for mankind since it is directly linked with human welfare. The problem of ground water pollution in several parts of the country has become so acute that unless important steps for detailed identification and decline are taken, extensive ground water resources may be damaged. The quality of water is important concern for mankind since it is directly linked with human welfare. Ground water is being polluted due to day to day the increase in the garbage, industrial waste and drainage linkages.

The selection of physic-chemical parameters is important part in analysis of water. Selection of parameters for analysis of water is depends upon research work, for what purpose we going to use that water and what level we need its quality and purity. Thus in this present study an attempt has been Central industrial area of bhilai, due to industrialization ground water has contaminated. The present study is related with the assessment of the quality of the ground water of some selected area of industrial area of Bhilai. The investigations were performed on the sample collected in the month of performed on the sample collected in the month of January 2016 To June2016. The parameters studied are conductivity, pH, EC, TH, TDS, TA, Cl, F, So4, Fe, Pb, Zn, and Cr.

2. Material & Methods

a) Study area

Bhilai city is situated in Durg District, C.G (the eastern central part of India). The city is located within the 32 km²/ Km west from the Raipur city. The population of the city is 1,006,407. The recorded temperature was ranged from 37 to 21°C and annual rainfall was 1247.0.mm. This is city which produced steel in large scale. Sampling sites were setup in Bhilai-Durg area which was in range of 10kms close to industrial area.

Map of Study Area



Fig 1





b) Sample Collection

In present study 33 water samples were collected by a polyvinyl chloride 250ml bottle at sampling area. Water samples were collected from these area including effluent (n =3), surface water (n=1) and ground water (n=29) during Jan-June, 2016. The locations of sample area were determined by

GPS receiver. The samples were kept in refrigerator at 4°c. Collected sample were immediately brought to laboratory and preserved for the further analysis. Details of ground water sampling location along with their longitude and latitude are presented in Table -1

Table 1: Ground water sampling location along with their longitude and latitude are presented.

| S. No. | Sampling area | Source of water | Latitude | Longitude |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| S1 | KOHKA | Hand pump | N - 21°13' 29.92" | E - 81°20' 24.95" |
| S2 | KOTRABHATA | Hand pump | N - 21°14' 18.73" | E - 81°19' 10.22" |
| S3 | JUNWANI | Hand pump | N - 21°13' 14.79" | E - 81°19' 08.28" |
| S4 | KATULBOD | Tube well | N - 21°12' 17.65" | E - 81°18' 44.12" |
| S5 | BORSI | Hand pump | N - 21°09' 55.44" | E - 81°18' 35.80" |
| S6 | HANODA | Hand pump | N - 21 °08' 14.06" | E - 81°18' 08.48" |

c) Methodology

The samples were analyzed using standard methods of analyses to assess various physicochemical parameters

according to APHA & WHO norms. The method used for estimation of various physicochemical parameters are tabulated in table 2

| S.NO | Parameters | Method | | | |
|------|----------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | PH | PH Meter | | | |
| 2 | TDS | EDTA Titration Method | | | |
| 3 | TH | EDTA Titration Method | | | |
| 4 | TA | Titration Method | | | |
| 5 | EC | Conductometer | | | |
| 6 | SO4 | Spectrophotometer | | | |
| 7 | Ca | UV-VIS NIR Spectrophotometer | | | |
| 8 | F | UV-VIS NIR Spectrophotometer | | | |
| 9 | Cl | Silver Nitrate titration | | | |
| 10 | Zn, Fe, Cr, Pb | AAS | | | |

Table 2: Methods used for estimation of physicochemical parameters

3. Result & Dissection

| S. No | Parameters | Sampling Points | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------------|--|
| | | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | ISI 10500-91 | |
| 1 | pН | 7.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.0-8.5 | |
| 2 | EC | 400 | 390 | 410 | 350 | 330 | 250 | |
| 3 | Total Alkalinity | 160 | 350 | 130 | 250 | 320 | 200 | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids | 624 | 1152 | 520 | 612 | 804 | 500 | |
| 5 | Total Hardness | 260 | 290 | 240 | 200 | 310 | 300 | |
| 6 | Calcium | 163.9 | 126 | 86.6 | 145 | 155 | 75 | |
| 7 | Lead | 0.04 | 0.052 | 0.16 | 0.067 | 0.076 | 0.01 | |
| 8 | Iron | 0.39 | 0.024 | 3.25 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.05 | |
| 9 | Chromium | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.041 | 0.011 | 0.3-1 | |
| 10 | Zinc | 0.1 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.052 | 1.3 | 3 | |
| 11 | Fluoride | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.6 -1.2 | |
| 12 | Chloride | 100 | 320 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 250 - 1000 | |
| 13 | Sulphate | 75 | 89 | 63 | 90 | 64 | 150 - 400 | |

Table 3: All parameters are in mg/l except pH & EC.

Table 4: Chemical characteristics of Ground water of Central Industrial Area Bhilai Comparison with WHO guidelines.

| S. No. | Parameter | WHO permissible limit | Minimum value | Maximum value | Mean | SD* |
|--------|------------|---|---------------|---------------|-------|--------|
| 1 | P^{H} | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.98 | 2.86 |
| 2 | Alkalinity | Desirable limit -200mg/l Permissible limit -600mg/l | 130 | 350 | 235 | 88 |
| 3 | Hardness | Desirable limit -100 permissible limit -500mg/l | 200 | 310 | 267 | 42 |
| 4 | TDS | Desirable limit -500- permissible limit 1500mg/l | 520 | 1152 | 702 | 245.67 |
| 5 | Cl | Desirable limit -200- permissible limit 600mg/l | 40 | 320 | 114 | 114.3 |
| 6 | F | Desirable limit - 1- permissible limit 1.5mg/l | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.22 |
| 7 | Ca | Desirable limit -75- permissible limit 200mg/l | 86.6 | 163.3 | 126 | 36 |
| 8 | SO_4 | Desirable limit -200- permissible limit 400 mg/dm3 | 63 | 90 | 76.2 | 33.8 |
| 9 | Zn | 3mg/l | 0.05 | 3.0 | 1.32 | 1.34 |
| 10 | Fe | 0.024mg/l | 3.25 | 1.628 | 0.66 | 0.045 |
| 11 | Cr | 0.05mg/l | 0.011 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.63 |
| 12 | Pb | 0.01mg/l | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.069 | 0.02 |
| 13 | EC | 250 | 330 | 410 | 355 | 60 |

*SD = Standard deviation

pH: It relates with the acidity or alkalinity of the water. A sample is considered to be acidic if the pH is below 7.0. Meanwhile, it is alkaline if the pH is higher than 7.0. The pH values of all the drinking water samples were found to be in the range between 6.5 and 7.5. This indicates that the pH value for the sample area is within permissible limit of WHO.

its capacity to neutralize acid. The alkalinity values for water samples were found to be in the range between 130mg/l to 350 mg/l. This indicates that the alkalinity value for the sample area is within permissible limit of WHO.

Total Hardness: In the present study result showed that the TH of the water samples fall into the range from a minimum 200 mg/l & maximum 310 mg/l. It has been observed that all

Total Alkalinity: The alkalinity of water can be described as

the water sample concentration exceeds from desirable limit of WHO (100) but they all have found within permissible limit of WHO (500).

Total Dissolved Solid: High TDS generally indicate hard water. In the present study result showed that the TDS of the water samples fall into the range from a minimum 520 mg/l & maximum 1152 mg/l and all the water sample concentration exceed from desirable limit of WHO (500).

Calcium: The concentration of Ca exceeding in all the sample area as prescribed by WHO.

EC: The electrical conductance of the sample area was exceeded in all the sample area.

Chloride: In present study, the results of chlorides in all sampling sites ranged from 40 mg/l to 320 mg/l. The sampling sites were within the permissible limit of drinking water quality of WHO.

Fluoride: In this study the measured value of Fluoride of the water samples were ranged from 0.16to 0.52mg/l. The measured value of Fluoride in sampling site was within permissible limit as compared to the levels of WHO.

Sulphate: The concentration of sulphate in water sample wsas in ranges from a minimum of 63 mg/l and maximum of 90 mg/l and all the water samples analyzed have concentration within the safe limit of standard set by the WHO (200).

ZINC: Zinc is necessary to man but if consumed in large amounts it has an emetic effect. The zinc levels in sample area was come in range of minimum0.05 and Maximum 3 were exceeding the WHO (3mg/l) permissible limit.

IRON: The concentration of iron ranges from a minimum of 0.024 mg/l and maximum of 3.25 mg/l. The concentration of water samples is exceeding from the prescribed limit of WHO.

Lead: The toxic effect of lead is very well known for living being so that firm limits on its presence in raw and finished drinking waters must be compulsory. The concentration of lead ranges from a minimum of 0.04mg/l and maximum of 0.16mg/l. This may suggest that the important decision should be taken for lead contamination.

Chromium: The concentration of chromium ranges from a minimum 0.011 mg/l and maximum of 0.25mg/l and all the water samples analyzed have concentration within the safe limit of standard set by the WHO.

4. Conclusion

The groundwater of Industrial Area of Bhilai Durg District was evaluated for the suitability for drinking purposes. The values of water quality parameters such as pH, TA, TH and Cl, F, So4for industrial area of bhilai were within permissible limit of WHO. The value of EC, TDS exceed the limit of WHO. The concentration of alkalinity was exceeded in majority of the sample area. The concentrations of Fe and Pb was exceeded in most of sample area, but the concentration of Zn and Cr was in within permissible limit. The quality of water samples are non- potable for human beings due high concentration of one parameters or the other. Hence, it can be concluded that consumption of ground water in the industrial area of Bhilai durg dist. needs to be redressed by proper treatment before it is consumed by living beings as well as for other purpose.

5. References

- 1. APHA Standard methods for the examinations of water and waste Water. 2007; 29(179):2-4.
- 2. APHA (American Public Health Association), Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water, 20th Edition American Public Health Association, Washington, DC., USA, 1998.
- 3. Garg NK, Hassan Q. Alarming Scarcity of water in India., J Current Sci. 2007; 98:932-941.
- 4. Indian Standard (IS: 10500), Drinking Water Specification, Reaffirmed 1992-1993.
- 5. Parihar VL, Sharma MS, Sharma LL. Utility of bacteriological parameters for assessing best use and trophic status of seasonal water: A case study from Udaipur Rajasthan, Poll. Res. 2003; 22(2):163-169.
- Ramakrishnalih CR, Sadashivalahand C, Ranganna G. Assessment of Water Quality Index for the Groundwater in Tumkur Taluk, Karnataka State, India, E-Journal of Chemistry. 2009; 6(2):523-530.
- Mahananda MR. Physico-chemical analysis of surface and ground water of Bargarh district, Orissa, India, IJRRAS. 2010; 2(3):284-295.
- Mishra A. Physico-Chemical and Microbiological Analysis of Under Ground Water in VV Nagar and Near by Places of Anand-District Gujarat india, E-Journal Chemistry. 2008; 5(3):487-492.
- 9. Mohan D, Gaur A, Chowdhury D. Study of Limnology and Microbiology of Naya Talab Jodhpur Rajasthan, Proceed. Nat. Symp. On Limnology, 2007, 64-68.
- Murhekar GH. Assessment of Physico-Chemical Status of Ground Water Samples in Akot City, Res. J Chem. Sci. 2010; 1(4):117-124.
- 11. Sinha MR, Dev A, Prasad A, Ghosh M, Tagore RN. Physicochemical examination and quality assessment of ground-water (Hand-Pump) around Patna main town, Bihar state, India, J Chem. Pharm. Res. 2011; 3(3):701.
- 12. Trivedi P, Bajpai A, Thareja S. Evaluation of Water Quality: Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Ganga River at Kanpur by using Correlation Study, Nature and Science, 2009, 1(6).
- 13. WHO, Guidelines for drinking water quality Geneva, 2006.